



ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.44, No.25

July 4th, 2008

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: From Hansard. Sexual Discrimination Bill (2); Senate, 29/11/83: Senator Ronald Boswell (Qld.-N.P.); "...In the light of the legislation, it is timely to look at the origins of the movement towards a unisex society. I wish to quote where it started. In 1884 Freidrich Engels in "The Origin of the Family" wrote: "The emancipation of women will be possible only when they take part in production on a large scale. The first condition for the liberation of the wife is to bring the whole female sex back into public industry. The care and education of the children becomes a public affair; society looks after all the children alike, whether they are legitimate or not..."

Senator Donald Jessop (S.A.-Lib.) 6/12/83: "The massive volume of correspondence dealing with this Bill supports my concern. Anything that the United Nations recommends to Australia I treat with a lot of suspicion. I remember last year when Malcolm Fraser trotted into the Parliament a Bill on Human Rights. I looked at it and thought: 'this sounds great. I suppose that Australia will be able to preen itself on the international stage and to say that it is progressive'. But then I looked at those who were supporting it in the United Nations, the signatories of the Convention concerned, the first covenant of which happened to endorse the freedom of people to give expression to their political views. When I looked more carefully at the list of signatories, I found that 50 of them were military dictators. Would they give their citizens the right to disagree with their political philosophy?..."

– *On Target*, Vol.20, No.18, 25/5/84

MORE POLITICAL CORRECTNESS ON RACE by Brian Simpson: Here is one of the latest politically correct books: *Drawing the Global Colour Line: White Men's Countries and the International Challenge of Racial Equality* (MUP) by Henry Reynolds (and Marilyn Lake). This time Reynolds globalises his black armband view of Western history. The "White men's countries" of Australia, Canada, New Zealand and the U.S. worked to exclude non-whites. Their nations were based upon aboriginal (i.e. native inhabitants') dispossession. The usual stuff found in most books of the same genre is then trotted out. The last part of the book, 'Towards Universal Human Rights', says that Whites were under pressure (from whom? guess who?) to repeal discriminatory legislation. How the 'racists' could do this is a mystery.

These types of books never deal with tough issues such as China's colonial past in Asia, its genocide of the people of Tibet, or anti-white racism in Africa. It's all too inconvenient for the convenient myths spun by these types of book. It could be argued with greater plausibility that Whites –

In this issue: • *Thought For The Week* • *More Political Correctness On Race* • *Will The White House Become The Black House?* • *Market Full Of Oil And 'Price Trend' Fake – Ahmadinejad* • *Breakthrough To Save Us From The Oil Monopoly?* • *Busines – Is Business*

especially Nordics – have been very weak on racism compared with other races. That sort of book though, will never get a mainstream publisher. The international guilt industry will never permit it. Playwright David Mamet has confessed that he is "no longer a brain-dead liberal" (*The Weekend Australian*, 22-23/3/08, p.17). That is an improvement. But hell will first freeze over before we see our equivalents arising from the 'dead'.

WILL THE WHITE HOUSE BECOME THE BLACK HOUSE? by Brian Simpson: Guilt-ridden middle-class liberals have been salivating at the prospects of Barak Hussein Obama becoming the first 'black' president of the U.S.A. So beside themselves they have become in a self-induced euphoria, no difficult questions have been asked of Obama's policies and agendas.

First, as www.rense.com reports: Obama's foreign policy guru is new world order man Zbigniew Brzezinski and his son Mark is Obama's top advisor. His pet project, according to this site is the "destruction of Russia". Other advisors have similar militaristic agendas. And you thought Bush was dangerous!

Second, according to rense.com Obama and Hillary Clinton are followers of Saul Alinsky, author of "Rules for Radicals" (1971). This school of Leftism advocates the long march through the institutions. Hillary Rodham (Clinton) wrote a thesis on Alinsky's methods and was nurtured by the Alinskyites. Obama spent time teaching workshops on the Alinsky method. According to rense.com he also worked for an Alinskyite group called "Developing Communities Project".

Obama claims that the race card is not part of his campaign, but the liberal press are quite open about his racial appeal to blacks. Oprah Winfrey supported him because – Why? – he has the best policy on Climate Change! Don't believe that! Indeed I have seen respectable 'green' websites attacking Obama's environmental credentials. Better hone up on that one Mr. "Clean 'n Fresh".

Third is the Muslim father issue. Liberal websites have delighted in knocking concerns that Barak Hussein Obama is a secret Muslim. On balance, probably he is not. But as Daniel Pipes in "Was Barak Obama a Muslim?" 2/12/07 at FrontpageMagazine.com argues, the real issue is whether Muslims will see him as a murtadd (apostate). That could raise a whole host of questions and difficulties. Obama critics need to work on that one.

Fourth. Obama says that he believes in Jesus and the rights of gun owners. Oh sure. Obama has a strong record of voting for gun control. Yet he is now saying that his record indicates that he has "no intention of taking away folks' guns." He seems to have forgotten that he supported banning the manufacture, sale and possession of handguns. So Obama is your typical Democrat gun banner. And someone who is willing to play with the truth to suit the moment. Like Hillary, an Obama presidency will see the end of private firearm ownership in America.

Finally, what about Obama's church? Ron Strom in "Obama's Church: More About Africa than God?" 9/1/08 at world.NetDaily.com points out that Obama's church is African-centred and has a race-based philosophy, the church having a "non-negotiable commitment" to "Mother" Africa. Christians, one would have thought, would have only a "non-negotiable commitment" to God.

Barak Obama's wife Michelle Obama in a speech given by her in New Hampshire said that blacks are fearful and isolated from each other because there has never been a black president. Everything bad about America for her is connected to this. Obama is the answer.

Commenting on this Lawrence Auster has said: "This woman is negative about everything about America, describing us over and over as a mean, fearful country, and in a tone of unwavering resentment."

Yes, all the candidates are new world order puppets. However in a relative sense, Obama and Hillary

are the worst of a rotten bunch. Obama, in my opinion is the worst of all because he is playing the race card but betraying the real interests of black Americans. He is an 'open borders' man like his endorser Ted Kennedy, a true grave digger of the White and Black world.

Obama voted for complete amnesty for in excess of 20 million illegal aliens. These aliens directly compete with poor black Americans for work. In some parts of America blacks face a 50 per cent unemployment rate and 40-50 per cent of black students fail to graduate from high school. If that's not a problem, what is?

Yet Obama's speeches are all motherhood froth and bubble, never confronting the real problems of America. Just empty talk about 'unity and a new beginning'. But what about people who are hurting, who the politically correct have no use for? Black Americans should not vote for this man – or his twin Hillary Clinton.

THE ECONOMIST AND HOLOCAUST DENIAL by Peter Ewer: Now that the existence of Human Rights Tribunals has penetrated more and more into the public arena it is worth revisiting a 25 January 2007 article by *The Economist*: "Holocaust Denial is profoundly Wrong. But Should It Be Illegal?"

Following Jacques Chirac it accepts that "Holocaust denial is a perversion of the soul and a crime against truth" but that still leaves open the question of whether it should be a crime to deny the Holocaust.

In the course of setting up the argument, the article notes that Hitler got the "final solution" not through written orders but through his minions' understanding of his book "Mein Kampf". (Of course no proof that his minions actually read this most rambling of books is offered.)

So, a pre-emptive banning of "Mein Kampf" would have prevented the Holocaust?

At this point the argument wanders on to its pragmatic objection to holocaust denial laws: that such laws have unintended consequences. Once they have been passed then it is irresistible to extend them to every other matter causing ethnic and religious offence.

The Economist article points out that in Europe (and here as well) there are anti-incitement rules. These operate where there is an offence to religious and ethnic groups, which means "that something is a crime if the victim says so – an unhappy legal principle, and an encouragement for people to take offence at every opportunity". But I believe that is exactly what Australia's race hate laws have done – the rule of law has been replaced by the rule of ethnic victim.

MARKET FULL OF OIL AND 'PRICE TREND' FAKE – AHMADINEJAD: *Reuters* published (17/6/08) the following observations from a televised speech by Iran's President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad when opening a meeting of the OPEC Fund for International Development in the central Iranian city of Isfahan.

"The market is full of oil and the rising price trend is 'fake and imposed', Iran's president said on Tuesday, partly blaming a weak U.S. dollar which he said was being pushed lower on purpose. At a time when the growth of consumption is lower than the growth of production and the market is full of oil, prices are rising and this trend is completely fake and imposed. It is very clear that visible and invisible hands are controlling prices in a fake way with political and economic aims."

Iran, the world's fourth-largest oil exporter, has repeatedly said the market is well-supplied with crude and blames rising prices on speculation, a weak U.S. currency and geopolitical factors. The President continued: 'As you know the decrease in the dollar's value and the increase in energy prices are two sides of the same coin which are being introduced as factors behind the recent instability.'

Oil steadied after touching a record near \$140 the previous day, with traders caught between a weaker dollar and expectations that top exporter Saudi Arabia will ramp up output to its highest rate in decades. Iran has often said it sees no need for the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) to boost output.

Ahmadinejad reiterated his view that oil should be sold in a basket of currencies rather than U.S. dollars, an idea which has failed to win over other OPEC members, except Venezuela.

'The ever-increasing decrease in the dollar's value is one of the world's major problems. A combination of the world's valid currencies should become a basis for oil transactions or (OPEC) member countries should determine a new currency for oil transactions,' he said.

Iran, embroiled in a standoff with the West over its nuclear program, has for more than two years been increasing its sales of oil for currencies other than the dollar, saying the weak U.S. currency is eroding its purchasing power.

Source: <<http://www.uruknet.de/pic.php?f=image615909x.jpg>>

BREAKTHROUGH TO SAVE US FROM THE OIL MONOPOLY? Source: <http://ekstrabladet.tv/biler/article1024129.ece> : Japanese company Genepax recently presented its eco-friendly car that runs on nothing but water. The car has an energy generator that extracts hydrogen from water that is poured into the car's tank. The generator then releases electrons that produce electric power to run the car. Genepax, the company that invented the technology, aims to collaborate with Japanese manufacturers to mass produce it.

BUSINESS – IS BUSINESS: David Robertson *Timesonline* (U.K.) reports: "Anglo to 'review' £200m investment in Zimbabwe": Anglo American, the London-listed mining giant, said today that it was "reviewing all options surrounding the development" of its \$400 million (£202 million) platinum mine in Zimbabwe.

The company's decision to press ahead with the Unki mine in central Zimbabwe has prompted outrage from politicians who claim it will provide support to the regime of President Mugabe.

Under pressure from politicians and from its own shareholders, Anglo said that it would review the project but did not want to abandon the 650 people working at Unki. In a statement issued today, the company said: "Anglo American is deeply concerned about the current political situation in Zimbabwe and condemns the violence and human rights abuses that are taking place. The company is monitoring the situation in Zimbabwe very closely and is reviewing all options surrounding the development of the project."

The Foreign Office is investigating whether Anglo's investment breached sanctions against Zimbabwe. Anglo insisted yesterday that its involvement in the country did not break the law.

The decision, which was criticised roundly as likely to give succour – and possibly money – to the Mugabe regime, is in stark contrast to the policy of nearly all other main British corporations in Zimbabwe. They are either withdrawing from the country or waiting for Mr Mugabe to be deposed before expanding their businesses."

Comment: Notice that 'Big Business' has no trouble working with tyrannical dictators of any type, colour or shade – only when the facts come to the attention of an enraged public who haven't lost all moral scruples.